

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PDI ICATIONALO			www.uspto.gov	113-1450
09/875,698	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
	06/06/2001	Sung Gyu Pyo		CONFIRMATION N
4743 75	90 10/03/2003	: 5:,==,0	29936/37431	7907 Q
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 6300 SEARS TOWER			EXAMINER UMEZ ERONINI, LYNETTE T	
	00000		1765	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

int &		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/875,698	PYO, SUNG GYU				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lynette T. Umez-Eronini					
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	077espondence address				
- External e	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of lead patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(\$ 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for	S) FROM ely filed will be considered timety.				
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/23/	· /2002					
2a)⊠	This action is FINIAL						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allower	action is non-final.					
Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
8) 🗌 (8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement						
- Fridation I apers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
1	7 Springer ridy not request that any objection to the drawing(a) has been a						
	IS: a) approved b) disapproved by						
12) Tr	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)□ A	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in Applications					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage * See the attribute of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* See	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified conice action and action and action actions.						
/ //LI / TOK	Notification is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 440(2) #						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO_802)						
[2) L.J. Notice of ∣	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Nouce of Informal Patent	P-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office							
PTOL-326 (Rev. 0	04-01) Office Action S						

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed March 27, 2003 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because Korean Patent KR-1998-065748 lacks an English translation. Although two pages of the missing document, "Communication from Korean Intellectual Property Office dated March 13, 2003" has been received, it also lacks an English translation. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

() -- - · · ·

Art Unit: 1765

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. (US 6,040,243) in view of Koh (US 2001/0019891 A1) and further in view of Liu et al. (US 6,177, 347).

As pertaining to claims 1-11, Li teaches, "Referring now more particularly to FIG. 5, there is illustrated . . . a dual damascene interconnect trench and via trench as shown. A semiconductor substrate 60 is preferably composed of monocrystalline silicon. Copper traces 68 are conventionally provided through an isolation layer 62 overlying the semiconductor substrate 60" (column 3, lines 49-56). . "A first dielectric layer 76 (same as applicant's first dielectric layer) is deposited . . " (column 4, lines 5-7) . . "An etch stopping layer 80 (same as applicant's second insulating film) is deposited overlying the first dielectric layer 76 . . " and "the etch stopping layer 80 is typically comprised of silicon nitride" (column 4, lines 12-18). "A second dielectric layer 84 (same as applicant's third dielectric layer) is deposited overlying the etch stopping layer 80 . . ." (column 4, lines 5-25). The aforementioned reads on,

A method of forming a metal wiring in a semiconductor device, the method comprising:

providing a substrate with a lower metal layer overlying the substrate and an interlayer insulating film comprising first, second and third insulating films formed on the lower metal layer; and

forming a damascene pattern comprising a trench and a via on the interlayer insulating film, a portion of the second insulating film forming a bottom of the trench, a portion of the lower metal layer forming a bottom of the via, the trench and the via each

Art Unit: 1765

1

comprising a sidewall.

Li further teaches, "Referring now to FIG. 6, . . . barrier layer 92 is deposited overlying . . . layer 76, . . . layer 80, . . . layer 84 . . . The thickness of the barrier layer 92 is between 50 Angstrom and 2000 Angstroms. . . . The barrier layer 92 may be comprised of any of the group of: metals, such as tantalum and titanium; metal nitrides, such as . . . titanium nitride, metal silicon nitrides, . . . Combinations of metals, metal nitrides, metal silicon nitrides, . . . and metal oxides can also be used for the barrier layer 92" (column 4, lines 45-65). The aforementioned reads on.

forming diffusion prevention film spacers on the sidewalls of the trench and the via.

Li further teaches, "A copper seed layer is first deposited using . . . chemical vapor deposition (CVD). Then the copper layer **100** is the deposited by electrochemical plating" (column 5, lines 37-40), which reads on,

forming a copper layer on the diffusion prevention spacer.

Li also teaches, "The copper layer **100** is polished using conventional chemical mechanical polishing (CMP)" (column 5, lines 46-50), which reads on,

performing a chemical mechanical polishing process to form a copper metal wiring from the copper layer,

Li differs in failing to teach selectively forming chemical enhancer layers on the portion of the second insulating film forming the bottom of the trench and on the portion of the lower metal layer forming the bottom of the via; and forming a copper layer on the chemical enhancer layers by means of chemical vapor deposition method.

Art Unit: 1765

ار افرا

> Koh teaches, FIG. 1c, the sample (same as applicant's chemical enhancer layer) prepared as described above is treated with ethyl iodide as a catalyst 150 using a custom designed reaction chamber in 5 Torr level of vacuum. Subsequently, the silicon substrate sample is treated for about 3 minutes with a copper source material (hfac)Cu(vtms), where the ligand (hfac) means (hexafluoroacetylacetonate) and the ligand (vtms) means (Vinyltrimethylsilane), at 180°C and under 0.7 Torr of partial pressure of the copper source material (hfac)Cu(vtms) out of the total pressure of 5 Torr with argon carrier gas, to fill the holes with copper 160 as shown in FIG. 1d, ..." [0045]. "The catalyst ethyl-iodide is also applied as shown in FIG. 1c to form an additional copper layer 260 by means of a copper CVD using catalyst, whereby the second copper layer 260 is made thick enough (no more than 100 nm) to be used as an electrode for electroplating on top of the copper layer 260. Completely-filled copper layer 270 by means of electroplating is shown in FIG. 2b." [0052]. "Referring to FIG. 3a, conducting layer 342 is . . . on top of a substrate 300 . . . Next, in reference to FIG. 3b in order to fill a contact hole 322 and trench 324, a copper seed layer 344 is formed using a copper CVD method using ethyl-iodide (CH₃CH₂I) as a catalyst after covering the entire surface with a barrier layer 302 that prevents conducting material form penetrating into the insulating layer during subsequent processing steps ([0055]). Since Koh uses the same material in forming chemical enhancer layers, which lines a barrier layer, which overlies a trench and via, as that of the claimed invention, then using Koh's method of forming a conductive layer would result in selectively forming chemical enhancer layers on the portion of the second insulating film forming the bottom of the

Art Unit: 1765

Ÿ

trench and on the portion of the lower metal layer forming the bottom of the via; and forming a copper layer on the chemical enhancer layers by means of chemical vapor deposition method.

It is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify Li by using Koh's method of forming chemical enhancer layer for the purpose of "... filling deep via holes small in diameter by means of copper CVD using catalyst, where conventional methods such as electroplating process cannot be used for such small geometries ..." (Koh, [0036]).

Li in view of Koh differ in failing to teach performing a hydrogen reduction annealing, in claim 1.

Liu teaches, "A reactive plasma cleaning step is used to reduce cooper oxide to copper and thus remove the copper oxide . . . For example, H_2/He plasma . . . can be used. . . and "when using H_2/He plasma, . . . temperature of between about 25 and $300^{\circ}C$. . . " (column 5, lines 7-18), which reads on, performing a hydrogen reduction annealing.

It is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify Li in view of Koh by using Liu's method of performing a hydrogen reduction annealing for the purpose of removing polymer buildup and copper oxide before deposition of the barrier layer underlying the subsequent metallization (Liu, column 5, lines 44-48).

Art Unit: 1765

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed July 23, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant traverses the rejection of claims 1-11 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Koh and further in view of Liu is improper due to disqualification of Koh as prior art. Applicant argues the Koh is not prior art to the present application as its as its US filing date is December 15, 2000, whereas the present application has a US filing date of June 6, 2002, but is based upon Korean Priority Application No. 2000-33980, filed on June 20, 2000.

Applicant's argument is unpersuasive because under CFR 1.55. Claim for foreign priority, " . . . (4) An English language translation of a non-English language foreign application is not required except . . ., when necessary to overcome the date of a reference relied upon by the examiner, . . " However, Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1765

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Page 8

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lynette T. Umez-Eronini whose telephone number is

703-306-9074. The examiner is normally unavailable on the First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 703-305-2667. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306

for regular communications and 703-972-9311 for After Final communications.

ltue

September 24, 2003

NADINE G. NORTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

1